

Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walks

S. Salimi ^{*}, A. Sorouri [†]

Department of Physics, University of Kurdistan, P.O.Box 66177-15175 , Sanandaj, Iran.

June 16, 2010

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail addresses: shsalimi@uok.ac.ir

[†]E-mail: a.sorouri@uok.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper we present a model exhibiting a new type of continuous-time quantum walk (as a quantum mechanical transport process) on networks, which is described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum. We call it pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walk. We introduce a method to obtain the probability distribution of walk on any vertex and then study a specific system. We observe that the probability distribution on certain vertices increases compared to that of the Hermitian case. This formalism makes the transport process faster and can be useful for search algorithms.

Keywords: Continuous-time quantum walk, Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walks, Non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, Pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian.

PACs Index: 03.65.Ud

1 Introduction

In recent years, the studies of quantum random walks have suggested that they may display different behavior from their classical counterpart [1, 2]. One of the promising features of quantum random walks is that they provide an intuitive framework on which one can build novel quantum algorithms. Since many classical algorithms can be formulated in terms of random walks, one can hope that some of them may be translated into quantum algorithms which run faster than their classical counterparts. However, Shenvi et. al.[3] have shown that the quantum search algorithm can be derived from a certain kind of quantum random walks. Also, Childs et. al. [4] have presented a general approach to the Grover problem using a continuous-time quantum walk (CTQW) on a graph.

Quantum walk is generally divided into two standard versions: discrete-time quantum walk (DTQW) and CTQW [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. In the past years, CTQW has been studied on the line [13, 14, 15], distance regular graphs [16], n -cube [17], star graphs [18, 19], quotient graphs [20], circulant Bunkbeds [21], decision trees [22, 23], odd graphs [24], Apollonian network [25], and dendrimers [26]. In all of the above-mentioned works, CTQW has been described by Hermitian Hamiltonians on networks. In this paper we describe a model exhibiting a new type of CTQW (as a quantum mechanical transport process) on networks, which is described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum. In recent years, we have witnessed a growing interest in the study of $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Hamiltonian. These operators are specific by their $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry, i.e. $[\mathcal{PT}, H] = 0$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is space reflection and $\mathcal{T}$ is time reversal, and can be covered by a broad class of pseudo-Hermitian operators which satisfy the following operator equation

$$H^\dagger = \eta H \eta^{-1},$$

where the operator η is required to be Hermitian, invertible and bounded [27]-[35]. Here, we consider that kind of the graphs whose Hamiltonians are pseudo-Hermitian. Using pseudo-

Hermitian quantum mechanics, we obtain the probability distribution (transition probability) of walk on any vertex. The results show that the probability distribution on certain vertices increases in comparison with that of Hermitian CTQW. Therefore, we can increase the probability distribution on any arbitrary vertex. Noting the fact that the Grover search algorithm is a quantum random walk search algorithm [3, 36, 37, 38, 39], pseudo-Hermitian quantum walk is a better candidate than Hermitian quantum walk for search algorithm. Also, by attention to increasing and decreasing of probability distribution on any arbitrary vertex, this formalism gives faster transport on networks than that of the Hermitian case. This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2 we first review CTQW on general graphs. Then, we describe the pseudo-Hermitian formalism and define pseudo-Hermitian CTQW (PHCTQW) and obtain probability distribution for PHCTQW on general graphs. In Sec 3 we derive PHCTQW for an example. Conclusion is given in the last part, Sec 4.

2 Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walk

CTQW was introduced by Farhi and Gutmann [2] as a quantum mechanical transport process on discrete structures, called generally graphs. A graph is a pair of two sets, $G = (V, E)$, where V is a non-empty set and E is a subset of $\{(i, j) : i, j \in V, i \neq j\}$. The elements of V and E are called vertices and edges, respectively. The adjacency matrix of G is defined as

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (i, j \in V). \quad (2-1)$$

We assume that states $|k\rangle$, associated with localized excitation at vertex k , form an orthonormal basis set and span the whole accessible Hilbert space. The Hamiltonian of quantum walk is defined as the Laplacian of the graph, $H = A - D$, where D is a diagonal matrix with entries $D_{jj} = \deg(j)$, where $\deg(j)$ is the degree of the vertex j which is the number of proper edges incident on j . Time evolution of quantum walk on graphs obtained by using the Schrödinger

equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = H |\psi(t)\rangle. \quad (2-2)$$

The solution of this equation is $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(0)\rangle$, where we assume $\hbar = 1$, and $|\psi(0)\rangle$ is the initial wave function amplitude of the particle. The transition probability of quantum walk at vertex k at time t is given by

$$p_k^q(t) = |\langle k | \psi(t) \rangle|^2, \quad (2-3)$$

where "q" stands for quantum walk. Also, by using Kolmogorov's equation one can obtain transition probability for classical random walk as

$$p_k^c(t) = \langle k | e^{-Ht} | \psi(0) \rangle, \quad (2-4)$$

where "c" refers to classical walk. To solve equations (2-2) and (2-4) exactly, we need to know all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hermitian Hamiltonian H . Let E_n and $|q_n\rangle$ be the n -th eigenvalue and the corresponding orthonormalized eigenvector of H , respectively. Hence, the classical and quantum mechanical transition probabilities at vertex k at time t are given by

$$p_k^q(t) = \sum_{n,l} e^{-it(E_n - E_l)} \langle k | q_n \rangle \langle q_n | \psi_0 \rangle \langle k | q_l \rangle \langle q_l | \psi(0) \rangle, \quad (2-5)$$

$$p_k^c(t) = \sum_n e^{-tE_n} \langle k | q_n \rangle \langle q_n | \psi(0) \rangle, \quad (2-6)$$

respectively.

Here, we consider a model exhibiting a new type of quantum mechanical transport processes on networks described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $H : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a diagonalizable linear Hamiltonian operator. H is said to be pseudo-Hermitian if there exists a positive-definite operator $\eta_+ : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that [35]

$$H^\dagger = \eta_+ H \eta_+^{-1}. \quad (2-7)$$

It can be shown that H is a Hermitian operator with respect to some positive-definite inner product $\langle ., . \rangle_+$ on $\mathcal{H}$ (which is generally different from its defining inner product $\langle . | . \rangle$). A

specific choice for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ is $\langle \cdot | \eta_+ \cdot \rangle$. Therefore, one can consider the set of the H eigenvectors as a basis for $\mathcal{H}$. If E_n and $|\psi_n\rangle$ are the n -th eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector of H , respectively, we have

$$H|\psi_n\rangle = E_n|\psi_n\rangle. \quad (2-8)$$

One can construct another basis $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$H^\dagger|\phi_n\rangle = E_n|\phi_n\rangle, \quad \langle\phi_n|\psi_m\rangle = \delta_{nm}, \quad \sum_n |\psi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| = 1. \quad (2-9)$$

In other words, the set $\{|\psi_n\rangle, |\phi_n\rangle\}$ forms a biorthonormal system, and we have

$$H = \sum_n E_n |\psi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n|, \quad H^\dagger = \sum_n E_n |\phi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|. \quad (2-10)$$

Therefore, by using Eq.(2-7) one can define the pseudo-metric operator η_+ associated with the operator H as [35]

$$\eta_+ = \sum_n |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n|, \quad \eta_+^{-1} = \sum_n |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|. \quad (2-11)$$

Using the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$, we can introduce a new vector space, called physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{phys}$. This space is actually the same as $\mathcal{H}$, but with different inner product.

To conserve the total transition probability of PHCTQW, we must consider time evolution of the vector state ($|\psi(t)\rangle$) determined by the Schrödinger equation in physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{phys}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$. In this case, to solve the Schrödinger equation (2-2) for PHCTQW we have

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n e^{-iE_n t} |\psi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n|\psi_0\rangle, \quad (2-12)$$

where we use the completeness relations of the basis in Eq. (2-9). The norm of the state should be conserved, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\psi(t)|\psi(t)\rangle_+ &= \sum_{m,n} e^{-i(E_n - E_m)t} \langle\psi_m|\eta_+ \psi_n\rangle \langle\phi_m|\psi_0\rangle \langle\psi_0|\phi_n\rangle \\ &= \sum_n \langle\phi_n|\psi_0\rangle \langle\psi_0|\phi_n\rangle = \langle\psi_0|(\sum_n |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n|)|\psi_0\rangle = \langle\psi_0|\eta_+ \psi_0\rangle = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2-13)$$

where $|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{|\psi(0)\rangle}{(\langle\psi(0)|\psi(0)\rangle_+)^{1/2}}$ and we used the definition of η_+ in Eq.(2-11). Therefore, by using Eqs.(2-12) and (2-13) transition probability of quantum walk at the vertex k and the time t for the state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is given by

$$p_k^{sq}(t) = |\langle k|\rho|\psi(t)\rangle|^2, \quad (2-14)$$

where ρ is a Hermitian operator and defined as $\rho = \sqrt{\eta_+}$, and "sq" stands for Pseudo-Hermitian quantum walk. To obtain the operator ρ it is necessary to have the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of operator η_+ . Denoting the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of η_+ by ϵ_n and $|\epsilon_n\rangle$, respectively, we have

$$\eta_+|\epsilon_n\rangle = \epsilon_n|\epsilon_n\rangle, \quad \langle\epsilon_m|\epsilon_n\rangle = \delta_{mn}, \quad \sum_n |\epsilon_n\rangle\langle\epsilon_n| = 1. \quad (2-15)$$

These in turn imply

$$\rho = \sum_n \sqrt{\epsilon_n} |\epsilon_n\rangle\langle\epsilon_n|. \quad (2-16)$$

3 Example

As an example, we consider Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walk on the graph in Fig.1. As can be seen, in this example vertex 3 has preference to other vertices. The Hamiltonian $H = D - A$, where D is the diagonal matrix defined before and A is adjacency matrix, is non-Hermitian possessing real spectrum which satisfies Eq.(2-7). Considering the graph, H is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3-17)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors (see Eqs.(2-8) and (2-9)) of H and $H^\dagger$ can easily be calculated,

$$E_1 = 0, \quad E_2 = 2, \quad E_3 = 3, \quad |\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$|\phi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, |\phi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, |\phi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3-18)$$

Using Eq.s(2-11) and (2-16) the operators η_+ and ρ are obtained as

$$\eta_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5}\sqrt{5} & -\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{5} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{5} & \frac{2}{5}\sqrt{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3-19)$$

Therefore, the solution of the Schrödinger equation (2-12) is

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}|\psi_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-i2t}|\psi_2\rangle - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}e^{-i3t}|\psi_3\rangle, \quad (3-20)$$

where we consider $|\psi(0)\rangle = |1\rangle$ as the starting point of the walk. Then using Eqs.(2-14) and (3-19) we obtain the transition probability as

$$p_k^{sq}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{40}[18 + 8 \cos(t) + 2 \cos(2t) + 8 \cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{90}[17 + 12 \cos(t) - 12 \cos(2t) - 8 \cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 2 \\ \frac{1}{72}[26 - 24 \cos(t) + 6 \cos(2t) - 8 \cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3-21)$$

Fig.2 shows the transition probability on the network in Fig.1, where the starting point of the walk is node $|1\rangle$. Fig.2(a) illustrates the probability of returning to the starting point ($|1\rangle$). As can be seen, in returning to the starting point, the walk spends most of the time with the probability between 0.3 and 0.5. However, in the Hermitian version (CTQW on complete graph K_3) the probability on vertex 1 is homogeneous for all time. Fig.2(b) shows that the probability of observing the walk in vertex 2 is more than that of the Hermitian version. The same happens for vertex 3, but, as shown in Fig.2(c), the difference between the probabilities for the two cases (Hermitian and non-Hermitian) at vertex 3 is much more than that at vertex 2. In fact, one can apply the PHCTQW for increasing the probability of observing the walk at an arbitrary vertex, which is very useful to make the transport faster on a network.

Now, let vertex 2 be the starting point of the walk. The transition probability is obtained as

$$p_k^{sq}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{10}[3 + 2\cos(t) - \cos(2t) - 2\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{45}[14 + 6\cos(t) + 12\cos(2t) + 4\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 2 \\ \frac{1}{36}[14 - 12\cos(t) - 6\cos(2t) + 4\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3-22)$$

Fig.3 shows the behavior of $p_k^{sq}(t)$ with respect to time t . Fig.3(a) shows the probability distribution of finding the walk at vertex 1. Although the distribution is not as homogeneous as in the Hermitian case, the value of the probability has not generally changed so much. The return probability distribution to vertex 2 (starting point) is illustrated in Fig.3(b). As can be seen, it changes periodically due to the special property of the graph. In Fig.3(c) we see that the transition probability of the walk is increased in comparison with its transition probabilities on other vertices.

In this example we saw that the non-Hermitian property of the Hamiltonian is responsible for increasing the probability of observing a particle on any vertex.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have introduced a model exhibiting a new type of CTQW on networks, which is described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum. The model is called PHCTQW. Then we introduced a method to obtain the probability distribution of walk on any vertex. We have applied this model to a network and saw that the probability distribution on certain vertices increased compared to its Hermitian counterpart. Indeed by choosing a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for CTQW one can increase the probability distribution on an arbitrary vertex which can help to make the transport faster and can be useful for search algorithms. One can generalize this method to perfect quantum state transfer over some special networks, which is under investigation now.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Najarbashi for useful discussions.

References

- [1] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, N. Zagury, *Phys. Rev. A* 48 (1993)1687.
- [2] E. Farhi, S. Gutmann, *Phys. Rev. A* 58 (1998) 915.
- [3] N. Shenvi, J. Kempe and K. Birgitta Whaley, *Phys. Rev. A* 67 (2003)052307.
- [4] Andrew M. Childs and J. Goldstone, *Phys. Rev. A* 70 (2004) 022314.
- [5] J. Kempe, *Contemporary Physics*, 44 (2003)307.
- [6] S. Hoyer and David A. Meyer, *Phys. Rev. A* 79 (2009)024307.
- [7] A. Kempf and R. Portugal, *arXiv*, quant-ph:0901.4237.
- [8] C.M. Chandrashekar, *arXiv*, quant-ph:0810.5592.
- [9] Alexander C. R. Belton, *arXiv*, quant-ph: 0810.2927.
- [10] J. B. Stang, A. T. Rezakhani and B. C. Sanders, *arXiv*, quant-ph: 0809.0940 .
- [11] Frederick W. Strauch, *arXiv*, quant-ph: 0808.3403.
- [12] F. Magniez, A. Nayak, Peter C. Richter and M. Santha, *arXiv*, quant-ph: 0808.0084.
- [13] M. A. Jafarizadeh, S. Salimi, *Ann. Phys.* **322**, 1005 (2007).
- [14] M. A. Jafarizadeh, R. Sufiani, S. Salimi, S. Jafarizadeh, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **59**, 199 (2007).
- [15] N. Konno, *Phy. Rev. E* 72 (2005)026113.
- [16] M. A. Jafarizadeh, S. Salimi, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39**, 13295 (2006).

- [17] C. Moore and A. Russell, Quantum Walks on the Hypercube, Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Randomization and Approximation Techniques in Computer Science, edited by Jose D.P. Rolim and Salil Vadhan, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2483, Springer (2002), 164-178.
- [18] S. Salimi, Ann. Phys. 324 (2009) 1185.
- [19] X-P. Xu, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 115205.
- [20] S. Salimi, Int. J. Quantum Inf. 6 (2008) 945.
- [21] P. Lo, S. Rajaram, D. Schepens, D. Sullivan, C. Tamon and J. Ward, Quantum Information and Computation, Vol. **6** (2006), Pages 370-381.
- [22] E. Farhi and S. Gutmann, Phys. Rev. **A** (1998).
- [23] N. Konno, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, 9 (2006) 287.
- [24] S. Salimi, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47**(2008) 3298.
- [25] X. Xu, W. Li and F. Liu, Phys. Rev. E **78** (2008)052103.
- [26] O. Mulken, V. Bierbaum and A. Blumen, J. Chem. Phys **124**, 124905 (2006).
- [27] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 5243.
- [28] G. Levai and M. Znojil, J. Phys. A 33 (2000) 7165.
- [29] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 31, 7829 (1998).
- [30] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 205 (2002).
- [31] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 2814 (2002)

- [32] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 36, 7081 (2003).
- [33] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 41, 055304 (2008).
- [34] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 45, 932 (2004)
- [35] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 37, 11645 (2004).
- [36] M. Santha, 5th Theory and Applications of Models of Computation (TAMC08), Xian, April, LNCS 4978 (2008)31.
- [37] V. Potocek, A. Gabris, T. Kiss and I. Jex, Phys. Rev. A 79 (2009) 012325.
- [38] A. Gabris, T. Kiss and I. Jex, Phys. Rev. A 76 (2007)062315.
- [39] A. Tulsi, Phys. Rev. A 78(2008) 012310.

Figure Captions

Figure-1: The edge $(2, 3)$ is directed and other edges are undirected.

Figure-2: The probability distribution of the walk on vertices when starting point is on the vertex 1: (a) return probability of the walk to the starting point (vertex 1), (b) the probability distribution of walk on vertex 2 and (c) the probability distribution of walk on vertex 3 for PHCTQW (blue line) and its Hermitian version (red line).

Figure-3: The probability distribution of the walk on vertices of graph when the starting point of the walk is on the vertex 2 of Fig.1: (a) the probability distribution of walk on vertex 1 in terms t , (b) the probability of returning to the starting point (vertex 2) and (c) the probability distribution of the walk on vertex 3 graph for PHCTQW (blue line) and its Hermitian version (red line).

Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walks

S. Salimi ^{*}, A. Sorouri [†]

Department of Physics, University of Kurdistan, P.O.Box 66177-15175 , Sanandaj, Iran.

June 16, 2010

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail addresses: shsalimi@uok.ac.ir

[†]E-mail: a.sorouri@uok.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper we present a model exhibiting a new type of continuous-time quantum walk (as a quantum mechanical transport process) on networks, which is described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum. We call it pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walk. We introduce a method to obtain the probability distribution of walk on any vertex and then study a specific system. We observe that the probability distribution on certain vertices increases compared to that of the Hermitian case. This formalism makes the transport process faster and can be useful for search algorithms.

Keywords: Continuous-time quantum walk, Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walks, Non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, Pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian.

PACs Index: 03.65.Ud

1 Introduction

In recent years, the studies of quantum random walks have suggested that they may display different behavior from their classical counterpart [1, 2]. One of the promising features of quantum random walks is that they provide an intuitive framework on which one can build novel quantum algorithms. Since many classical algorithms can be formulated in terms of random walks, one can hope that some of them may be translated into quantum algorithms which run faster than their classical counterparts. However, Shenvi et. al.[3] have shown that the quantum search algorithm can be derived from a certain kind of quantum random walks. Also, Childs et. al. [4] have presented a general approach to the Grover problem using a continuous-time quantum walk (CTQW) on a graph.

Quantum walk is generally divided into two standard versions: discrete-time quantum walk (DTQW) and CTQW [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. In the past years, CTQW has been studied on the line [13, 14, 15], distance regular graphs [16], n -cube [17], star graphs [18, 19], quotient graphs [20], circulant Bunkbeds [21], decision trees [22, 23], odd graphs [24], Apollonian network [25], and dendrimers [26]. In all of the above-mentioned works, CTQW has been described by Hermitian Hamiltonians on networks. In this paper we describe a model exhibiting a new type of CTQW (as a quantum mechanical transport process) on networks, which is described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum. In recent years, we have witnessed a growing interest in the study of $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Hamiltonian. These operators are specific by their $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry, i.e. $[\mathcal{PT}, H] = 0$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is space reflection and $\mathcal{T}$ is time reversal, and can be covered by a broad class of pseudo-Hermitian operators which satisfy the following operator equation

$$H^\dagger = \Theta H \Theta^{-1},$$

where the operator Θ is required to be Hermitian, invertible and bounded [27]-[36]. **One of the first application of the apparently non-Hermitian Hamiltonian with real**

spectra appeared in nuclear physics [37] . Also, in the recent works, attention has been paid to the extension of the phenomenological scope as well as the practical feasibility of the use of the Hamiltonians H which are only made Hermitian after the introduction of sophisticated, Hamiltonian-dependent *ad hoc* metrics [38, 39], and studied for non-Hermitian matrices Hamiltonian 2×2 and 3×3 on Hilbert spaces with dimensions 2 and 3 [40, 41], respectively.

Here, we consider that kind of the graphs whose Hamiltonians are pseudo-Hermitian. Using pseudo-Hermitian quantum mechanics, we obtain the probability distribution (transition probability) of walk on any vertex. The results show that the probability distribution on certain vertices increases in comparison with that of Hermitian CTQW. Therefore, we can increase the probability distribution on any arbitrary vertex. Noting the fact that the Grover search algorithm is a quantum random walk search algorithm [3, 42, 43, 44, 45], pseudo-Hermitian quantum walk is a better candidate than Hermitian quantum walk for search algorithm. Also, by attention to increasing and decreasing of probability distribution on any arbitrary vertex, this formalism gives faster transport on networks than that of the Hermitian case. This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2 we first review CTQW on general graphs. Then, we describe the pseudo-Hermitian formalism and define pseudo-Hermitian CTQW (PHCTQW) and obtain probability distribution for PHCTQW on general graphs. In Sec 3 we derive PHCTQW for an example. Conclusion is given in the last part, Sec 4.

2 Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walk

CTQW was introduced by Farhi and Gutmann [2] as a quantum mechanical transport process on discrete structures, called generally graphs. A graph is a pair of two sets, $G = (V, E)$, where V is a non-empty set and E is a subset of $\{(i, j) : i, j \in V, i \neq j\}$. The elements of V and E

are called vertices and edges, respectively. The adjacency matrix of G is defined as

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) \in V, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (i, j \in V). \quad (2-1)$$

We assume that states $|k\rangle$, associated with localized excitation at vertex k , form an orthonormal basis set and span the whole accessible Hilbert space. The Hamiltonian of quantum walk is defined as the Laplacian of the graph, $H = A - D$, where D is a diagonal matrix with entries $D_{jj} = \deg(j)$, where $\deg(j)$ is the degree of the vertex j which is the number of proper edges incident on j . Time evolution of quantum walk on graphs obtained by using the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = H |\psi(t)\rangle. \quad (2-2)$$

The solution of this equation is $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(0)\rangle$, where we assume $\hbar = 1$, and $|\psi(0)\rangle$ is the initial wave function amplitude of the particle. The transition probability of quantum walk at vertex k at time t is given by

$$p_k^q(t) = |\langle k | \psi(t) \rangle|^2, \quad (2-3)$$

where "q" stands for quantum walk. Also, by using Kolmogorov's equation one can obtain transition probability for classical random walk as

$$p_k^c(t) = \langle k | e^{-Ht} | \psi(0) \rangle, \quad (2-4)$$

where "c" refers to classical walk. To solve equations (2-2) and (2-4) exactly, we need to know all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hermitian Hamiltonian H . Let E_n and $|q_n\rangle$ be the n -th eigenvalue and the corresponding orthonormalized eigenvector of H , respectively. Hence, the classical and quantum mechanical transition probabilities at vertex k at time t are given by

$$p_k^q(t) = \sum_{n,l} e^{-it(E_n - E_l)} \langle k | q_n \rangle \langle q_n | \psi_0 \rangle \langle k | q_l \rangle \langle q_l | \psi(0) \rangle, \quad (2-5)$$

$$p_k^c(t) = \sum_n e^{-tE_n} \langle k | q_n \rangle \langle q_n | \psi(0) \rangle, \quad (2-6)$$

respectively.

Here, we consider a model exhibiting a new type of quantum mechanical transport processes on networks described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $H : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a diagonalizable linear Hamiltonian operator. H is said to be pseudo-Hermitian if there exists a positive-definite operator $\Theta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that [36]

$$H^\dagger = \Theta H \Theta^{-1}. \quad (2-7)$$

It can be shown that H is a Hermitian operator with respect to some positive-definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ on $\mathcal{H}$ (which is generally different from its defining inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$). A specific choice for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ is $\langle \cdot | \Theta \cdot \rangle$. Therefore, one can consider the set of the H eigenvectors as a basis for $\mathcal{H}$. If E_n and $|\psi_n\rangle$ are the n -th eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector of H , respectively, we have

$$H|\psi_n\rangle = E_n|\psi_n\rangle. \quad (2-8)$$

One can construct another basis $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$H^\dagger|\phi_n\rangle = E_n|\phi_n\rangle, \quad \langle \phi_n | \psi_m \rangle = \delta_{nm}, \quad \sum_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| = 1. \quad (2-9)$$

In other words, the set $\{|\psi_n\rangle, |\phi_n\rangle\}$ forms a biorthonormal system, and we have

$$H = \sum_n E_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|, \quad H^\dagger = \sum_n E_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n|. \quad (2-10)$$

Therefore, by using Eq.(2-7) one can define the pseudo-metric operator Θ associated with the operator H as [36]

$$\Theta = \sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|, \quad \Theta^{-1} = \sum_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n|. \quad (2-11)$$

Using the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$, we can introduce a new vector space, called physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{phys}$. This space is actually the same as $\mathcal{H}$, but with different inner product.

To conserve the total transition probability of PHCTQW, we must consider time evolution of the vector state ($|\psi(t)\rangle$) determined by the Schrödinger equation in physical Hilbert space

$\mathcal{H}_{phys}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$. In this case, to solve the Schrödinger equation (2-2) for PHCTQW we have

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n e^{-iE_n t} |\psi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n | \psi_0\rangle, \quad (2-12)$$

where we use the completeness relations of the basis in Eq. (2-9). The norm of the state should be conserved, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle_+ &= \sum_{m,n} e^{-i(E_n - E_m)t} \langle \psi_m | \Theta \psi_n \rangle \langle \phi_m | \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 | \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_n \langle \phi_n | \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 | \phi_n \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | \left(\sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| \right) | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | \Theta \psi_0 \rangle = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2-13)$$

where $|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{|\psi(0)\rangle}{(\langle \psi(0) | \psi(0) \rangle_+)^{1/2}}$ and we used the definition of Θ in Eq.(2-11). Therefore, by using Eqs.(2-12) and (2-13) transition probability of quantum walk at the vertex k and the time t for the state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is given by

$$p_k^{sq}(t) = |\langle k | \Omega | \psi(t) \rangle|^2, \quad (2-14)$$

where Ω need not in general be Hermitian and is defined as $\Theta = \Omega^\dagger \Omega$ [46, 47, 48, 49, 50], but in this paper we consider Ω to be a Hermitian operator and defined as $\Omega = \sqrt{\Theta}$; and "sq" stands for Pseudo-Hermitian quantum walk. To obtain the operator Ω it is necessary to have the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of operator Θ . Denoting the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Θ by ϵ_n and $|\epsilon_n\rangle$, respectively, we have

$$\Theta |\epsilon_n\rangle = \epsilon_n |\epsilon_n\rangle, \quad \langle \epsilon_m | \epsilon_n \rangle = \delta_{mn}, \quad \sum_n |\epsilon_n\rangle \langle \epsilon_n| = 1. \quad (2-15)$$

These in turn imply

$$\Omega = \sum_n \sqrt{\epsilon_n} |\epsilon_n\rangle \langle \epsilon_n|. \quad (2-16)$$

3 Example

As an example, we consider Pseudo-Hermitian continuous-time quantum walk on the graph in Fig.1. As can be seen, in this example vertex 3 has preference to other vertices. The

Hamiltonian $H = D - A$, where D is the diagonal matrix defined before and A is adjacency matrix, is non-Hermitian possessing real spectrum which satisfies Eq.(2-7). Considering the graph, H is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3-17)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors (see Eqs.(2-8) and (2-9)) of H and $H^\dagger$ can easily be calculated,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 = 0, \ E_2 = 2, \ E_3 = 3, \quad |\psi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\phi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\phi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\phi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-18)$$

Using Eq.s(2-11) and (2-16) the operators Θ and Ω are obtained as

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5}\sqrt{5} & -\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{5} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{5} & \frac{2}{5}\sqrt{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3-19)$$

Therefore, the solution of the Schrödinger equation (2-12) is

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}|\psi_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-i2t}|\psi_2\rangle - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}e^{-i3t}|\psi_3\rangle, \quad (3-20)$$

where we consider $|\psi(0)\rangle = |1\rangle$ as the starting point of the walk. Then using Eqs.(2-14) and (3-19) we obtain the transition probability as

$$p_k^{sq}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{40}[18 + 8\cos(t) + 2\cos(2t) + 8\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{90}[17 + 12\cos(t) - 12\cos(2t) - 8\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 2 \\ \frac{1}{72}[26 - 24\cos(t) + 6\cos(2t) - 8\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3-21)$$

Fig.2 shows the transition probability on the network in Fig.1, where the starting point of the walk is node $|1\rangle$. Fig.2(a) illustrates the probability of returning to the starting point ($|1\rangle$). As can be seen, in returning to the starting point, the walk spends most of the time with the probability between 0.3 and 0.5. However, in the Hermitian version (CTQW on complete graph K_3) the probability on vertex 1 is homogeneous for all time. Fig.2(b) shows that the probability of observing the walk in vertex 2 is more than that of the Hermitian version. The same happens for vertex 3, but, as shown in Fig.2(c), the difference between the probabilities for the two cases (Hermitian and non-Hermitian) at vertex 3 is much more than that at vertex 2. In fact, one can apply the PHCTQW for increasing the probability of observing the walk at an arbitrary vertex, which is very useful to make the transport faster on a network.

Now, let vertex 2 be the starting point of the walk. The transition probability is obtained as

$$p_k^{sq}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{10}[3 + 2\cos(t) - \cos(2t) - 2\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{45}[14 + 6\cos(t) + 12\cos(2t) + 4\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 2 \\ \frac{1}{36}[14 - 12\cos(t) - 6\cos(2t) + 4\cos(3t)] & \text{for } k = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3-22)$$

Fig.3 shows the behavior of $p_k^{sq}(t)$ with respect to time t . Fig.3(a) shows the probability distribution of finding the walk at vertex 1. Although the distribution is not as homogeneous as in the Hermitian case, the value of the probability has not generally changed so much. The return probability distribution to vertex 2 (starting point) is illustrated in Fig.3(b). As can be seen, it changes periodically due to the special property of the graph. In Fig.3(c) we see that the transition probability of the walk is increased in comparison with its transition probabilities on other vertices.

In this example we saw that the non-Hermitian property of the Hamiltonian is responsible for increasing the probability of observing a particle on any vertex.

Also, one can generalize this method to obtain PHCTQW on the graph shown in Fig.4. Fig.4 illustrates a cycle graph with n vertices such that the edge between

vertex $n - 1$ and n th is directed, in other words, vertex n has preference to the other vertices. As an example, we consider the above-mentioned graph with 4 vertices. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3-23)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors (see Eqs.(2-8) and (2-9)) of H and $H^\dagger$ can be obtained as,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 = 0, \quad E_2 = 2, \quad E_3 = \frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{5}), \quad E_4 = \frac{1}{2}(5 - \sqrt{5}), \quad |\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\psi_3\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5})}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5}) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5}) \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi_4\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5})}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{5}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{5}) \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\phi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\phi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\phi_3\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5})}} \begin{pmatrix} -(1 + \sqrt{5}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}) \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\phi_4\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5})}} \begin{pmatrix} (-1 + \sqrt{5}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5}) \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-24)$$

In this case, by using Eq.(2-11), we obtain the operator Θ as follows

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{11}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{6}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{4}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{6}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{6}{5} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3-25)$$

Therefore, one can investigate PHCTQW for this graph as was done for the previous example.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have introduced a model exhibiting a new type of CTQW on networks, which is described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian possessing a real spectrum. The model is called PHCTQW. Then we introduced a method to obtain the probability distribution of walk on any vertex. We have applied this model to a network and saw that the probability distribution on certain vertices increased compared to its Hermitian counterpart. Indeed by choosing a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for CTQW one can increase the probability distribution on an arbitrary vertex which can help to make the transport faster and can be useful for search algorithms. One can generalize this method to perfect quantum state transfer over some special networks, which is under investigation now.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Najarbashi and Prof. Arkat for useful discussions.

References

- [1] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, N. Zagury, *Phy. Rev. A* 48 (1993)1687.
- [2] E. Farhi, S. Gutmann, *Phys. Rev. A* 58 (1998) 915.

- [3] N. Shenvi, J. Kempe and K. Birgitta Whaley, Phys. Rev. A 67 (2003)052307.
- [4] Andrew M. Childs and J. Goldstone, Phys. Rev. A 70 (2004) 022314.
- [5] J. Kempe, Contemporary Physics, 44 (2003)307.
- [6] S. Hoyer and David A. Meyer, Phys. Rev. A 79 (2009)024307.
- [7] A. Kempf and R. Portugal, arXiv, quant-ph:0901.4237.
- [8] C.M. Chandrashekar, arXiv, quant-ph:0810.5592.
- [9] Alexander C. R. Belton, arXiv, quant-ph: 0810.2927.
- [10] J. B. Stang, A. T. Rezakhani and B. C. Sanders, arXiv, quant-ph: 0809.0940 .
- [11] Frederick W. Strauch, arXiv, quant-ph: 0808.3403.
- [12] F. Magniez, A. Nayak, Peter C. Richter and M. Santha, arXiv, quant-ph: 0808.0084.
- [13] M. A. Jafarizadeh, S. Salimi, Ann. Phys. **322**, 1005 (2007).
- [14] M. A. Jafarizadeh, R. Sufiani, S. Salimi, S. Jafarizadeh, Eur. Phys. J. B **59**, 199 (2007).
- [15] N. Konno, Phy. Rev. E 72 (2005)026113.
- [16] M. A. Jafarizadeh, S. Salimi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 13295 (2006).
- [17] C. Moore and A. Russell, Quantum Walks on the Hypercube, Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Randomization and Approximation Techniques in Computer Science, edited by Jose D.P. Rolim and Salil Vadhan, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2483, Springer (2002), 164-178.
- [18] S. Salimi, Ann. Phys. 324 (2009) 1185.
- [19] X-P. Xu, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 115205.

- [20] S. Salimi, Int. J. Quantum Inf. 6 (2008) 945.
- [21] P. Lo, S. Rajaram, D. Schepens, D. Sullivan, C. Tamon and J. Ward, Quantum Information and Computation, Vol. **6** (2006), Pages 370-381.
- [22] E. Farhi and S. Gutmann, Phys. Rev. **A** (1998).
- [23] N. Konno, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, 9 (2006) 287.
- [24] S. Salimi, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47**(2008) 3298.
- [25] X. Xu, W. Li and F. Liu, Phys. Rev. E **78** (2008)052103.
- [26] O. Mulken, V. Bierbaum and A. Blumen, J. Chem. Phys **124**, 124905 (2006).
- [27] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 5243.
- [28] G. Levai and M. Znojil, J. Phys. A 33 (2000) 7165.
- [29] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 31, 7829 (1998).
- [30] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 205 (2002).
- [31] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 2814 (2002)
- [32] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 36, 7081 (2003).
- [33] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 41, 055304 (2008).
- [34] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 45, 932 (2004)
- [35] **H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. D 78, 065032 (2008).**
- [36] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A 37, 11645 (2004).

- [37] F. G. Scholtz, H. B. Geyer and F. J. W. Hahne, *Annals of Physics* **213**, 74 (1992).
- [38] M. Znojil, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 045022 (2009).
- [39] M. Znojil, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 105004 (2009).
- [40] M. Znojil and H. B. Geyer, *Phys. Lett. B* **640**, 52 (2006).
- [41] M. Znojil, *Phys. Lett. B* **647**, 225 (2007).
- [42] M. Santha, 5th Theory and Applications of Models of Computation (TAMC08), Xian, April, LNCS 4978 (2008)31.
- [43] V. Potocek, A. Gabris, T. Kiss and I. Jex, *Phys. Rev. A* **79** (2009) 012325.
- [44] A. Gabris, T. Kiss and I. Jex, *Phys. Rev. A* **76** (2007)062315.
- [45] A. Tulsi, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**(2008) 012310.
- [46] M. Znojil, **arXiv: 0711.0535**.
- [47] M. Znojil, **M. Znojil 0710.5633**.
- [48] M. Znojil, *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Application* **5**, 001 (2009).
- [49] M. Znojil, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 085003 (2008).
- [50] M. Znojil, **arXiv: 0910.1192**, Accepted for publication on *Acta Polytechnica*.

Figure Captions

Figure-1: The edge $(2, 3)$ is directed and other edges are undirected.

Figure-2: The probability distribution of the walk on vertices when starting point is on the vertex 1: (a) return probability of the walk to the starting point (vertex 1), (b) the probability distribution of walk on vertex 2 and (c) the probability distribution of walk on vertex 3 for PHCTQW (blue line) and its Hermitian version (red line).

Figure-3: The probability distribution of the walk on vertices of graph when the starting point of the walk is on the vertex 2 of Fig.1: (a) the probability distribution of walk on vertex 1 in terms t , (b) the probability of returning to the starting point (vertex 2) and (c) the probability distribution of the walk on vertex 3 graph for PHCTQW (blue line) and its Hermitian version (red line).

Figure-4: Fig.4 shows a cycle graph such that the vertex n has preference to the other vertices.